

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
DISTRICT OF NEVADA

\* \* \*

TROY P. REGAS,

Plaintiff,

v.

FREEMONT INVESTMENTS & LOAN;  
et al.,

Defendants.

3:10-cv-0366-LRH-VPC

ORDER

Before the court is defendants BAC Home Loans Servicing (“BAC”) and Mortgage Electronic Registration Systems, Inc.’s (“MERS”) motion to dismiss. Doc. #6.<sup>1</sup> Also before the court is defendants Quality Loan Service Corporation (“QLS”), LSI Title Company, and LSI Title Agency, Inc.’s (collectively “LSI”) motion to dismiss. Doc. #12.

On February 1, 2007, Regas purchased real property through a mortgage note and deed of trust originated by defendant Fremont Investment & Loan. Regas defaulted on the mortgage and defendants initiated non-judicial foreclosure proceedings.

On May 5, 2010, Regas filed a complaint against defendants. Doc. #1, Exhibit 1. In response, moving defendants filed the present motions to dismiss. Doc. ##6, 12. Thereafter, Regas filed a motion to file an amended complaint (Doc. #22) which was granted by the court (Doc. #26).

---

<sup>1</sup> Refers to the court’s docket entry number.

1 An amended complaint was subsequently filed on August 16, 2010. Doc. #27.

2 The amended complaint supersedes the original complaint in its entirety. Accordingly,  
3 moving defendants' motions to dismiss the original complaint are now moot. The court shall deny  
4 the motions without prejudice because moving defendants have not yet had the opportunity to  
5 respond to the new allegations and claims in the amended complaint.

6  
7 IT IS THEREFORE ORDERED that defendants' motion to dismiss (Doc. #6) is DENIED  
8 without prejudice.

9 IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that defendants' motion to dismiss (Doc. #12) is DENIED  
10 without prejudice.

11 IT IS SO ORDERED.

12 DATED this 15th day of December, 2010.



13  
14  
15 LARRY R. HICKS  
16 UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26